s Docket No.: 002717.P033

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

In re a	pplication of:)	
	Fawaz et al.)	Examiner: Phuc H Tran Art Unit: 2666
Applic	eation No: 09/189,819)	Art Ollit. 2000
Filed:	November 10, 1998))	
For:	METHOD AND APPARATUS TO MINIMIZE CONGESTION IN A PACKET SWITCHED NETWORK)))	

Assistant Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF APPELLANTS' APPEAL TO THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Applicants (hereafter "Appellants") hereby submit this Brief in support of an Appeal from a final decision by the Examiner, mailed October 29, 2004, in the above-referenced case.

Appellants respectfully request consideration of this Appeal by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences for allowance of the present patent application.

03/28/2005 HALI11 00000042 09189819 01 FC:1402 500.00 DP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	1
II.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS	1

III.	STATUS OF THE CLAIMS	I
IV.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	1
V.	SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION	1
	GROUNDS OF REJECTION	
VII.	ARGUMENT	2
IX.	APPENDIX OF CLAIMS	7

I. **REAL PARTY IN INTEREST**

The real party in interest of the above-referenced U.S. Patent application is Extreme

Networks, Inc. of 3585 Monroe Street, Santa Clara, California 95051, to whom the application

has been assigned.

Π. RELATED PROCEEDINGS

To the best of Appellants' knowledge, there are no prior or pending appeals, interferences,

or judicial proceedings related to the subject matter of this appeal that will directly affect, be

directly affected by, or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

III. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS

Claims 1-4, 9, 15, and 22-24 have been canceled.

Claims 5-8, 10-14, and 16-21 are pending in the above-referenced application, and were

rejected in the Final Office Action mailed October 29, 2004. The rejected claims are the subject

of this Appeal.

IV. **STATUS OF AMENDMENTS**

In response to the Final Office Action mailed October 29, 2004 rejecting the claims as set

forth above in Section III, Appellants filed a Notice of Appeal on January 31, 2005. No

amendments have been filed in response to the referenced Final Office Action. A claim listing

including a copy of all claims on appeal is attached hereto as Appendix A.

V. **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

In a network system, a switching point may mark traffic associated with a particular

service level agreement (SLA) as congested. See p. 18, line 28 to p. 19, line 1. A switching

Atty. Docket No. 002717.P033

Examiner: P.H. Tran Art Unit: 2666

-1-

point detecting congestion with a particular SLA sends messages to neighboring switching nodes to indicate the congestion. See p. 19, lines 6-7. A switching point receiving the congestion indication can reduce the data rate of packets associated with the particular SLA based on the message. See p. 19, lines 7-12 and 21-26; also, p. 20, lines 1-18.

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Claims 5-8, 10-14, and 16-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,324,165 of Fan et al. (*Fan*) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,452,905 of Smith et al. (*Smith*).

VII. ARGUMENT

Claims 5-8, 10-14, and 16-21 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Fan* and *Smith*. Appellants respectfully submit that this rejection is improper because the references, whether alone or in combination, fail to disclose at least one element of the claims.

Claims 5-6, 8, 10-11, 13, and 16-17

Claim 5 recites the following:

maintaining a plurality of service level agreements (SLAs) at a first switching point, each SLA having a corresponding minimum data rate;

transmitting data packets **received at the first switching point** corresponding to each SLA at or above the minimum data rate in accordance with the respective SLA;

receiving a message from a second switching point at the first switching point to indicate that traffic between a source and a destination is congested; and

adjusting a data rate at which packets corresponding to an SLA, destined for the destination, are output from the first switching point in response to receiving the message to reduce the congestion.

Claims 10 and 16 recite similar limitations directed to a congestion message sent between switching points to indicate that traffic is congested.

The Final Office Action at page 3 states that *Fan* fails to disclose receiving a message from a second switching point at a first switching point to indicate congestion between a source and a destination. The Office Action cites *Smith* as disclosing this limitation, specifically Figures 1 and 2 of the reference. Appellants traverse this assertion. The reference fails to disclose or suggest a first switching point indicating congestion to a second switching point. Rather, the *Smith* reference, as with other references cited in previous Office Actions (see Appellants' Response filed 10 June 2004), discusses messages transmitted between **endpoints** in a network system. As Appellants previously asserted in a Response filed 17 November 2003, Smith fails to discuss a congestion message sent between switching points, as recited in the claims.

Figure 1 of *Smith* is shown having an "end system 14" (labeled "end station 14" in Figure 1) and a "dynamic bandwidth controller (DBC) 20," both of which are discussed generally at col. 5, lines 14 to 61. DBC 20 is discussed as automatically allocating bandwidth for traffic incoming from end system 14, restricting traffic on a path to a particular cell rate (CR). See col. 5, lines 31 to 37 and col. 5, lines 58 to 61. Figure 2 of *Smith* is shown having an "end system 14A" (labeled "end station 14A" in Figure 2) that acts as a traffic source for the system, and "end system 14B (labeled "end station 14B" in Figure 2) that acts as the destination. Figure 2 also shows multiple DBCs 20-1 and 20-2. *Smith* specifically states at col. 6, lines 3 to 4 that "[e]ach DBC 20-1, 20-2 is also responsible for advising the end system 14A of the current applicable CR," and at col. 6, lines 17 to 19 that "[t]his allocation is then indicated to the DBC 20, 20-1, 20-2 which communicates the CR to the transmitting end-system 14." Thus, the *Smith* reference discusses transmission of cell rate information only to a source (a transmitting end system), and

Application No. 09/189,819 Atty. Docket No. 002717.P033

fails to disclose or suggest transmitting a message from a first switching point to a second switching point to indicate congestion between a source and a destination, as recited in the claims. Therefore, the cited references, whether alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest at least one element of the claimed invention, and so fail to render obvious the invention under MPEP § 2143.

Claims 14, 19, and 21

Claim 14 recites the following:

managing a plurality of service level agreements (SLAs) specifying a minimum data rate of transmission for packets corresponding to each SLA, at each switching point;

sending a message from a downstream switching point to an upstream switching point to cause the upstream switching point to reduce a data rate at which packets associated with a specific SLA to be forwarded by the upstream switching point are output from the upstream switching point to a device downstream from the downstream switching point; and

sending a message from the downstream switching point to the upstream switching point to cause the upstream switching point to increase the data rate at which packets associated with the specific SLA are output from the upstream switching point to the device downstream from the downstream switching point.

Claim 19 recites similar limitations directed to a sending a message to an upstream switching point.

The discussion above with respect to claims 5, 8, 10, 13, and 16 applies equally well to these claims. Furthermore, claims 14 and 19 specifically recites sending a message from a downstream switching point to an upstream switching point, with respect to a traffic flow. The cited references, whether alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest transmitting a congestion message from a downstream switching point to an upstream switching point.

Because the cited references fail to disclose or suggest at least one element of the claimed invention, the cited references fail to render obvious the invention under MPEP § 2143.

Application No. 09/189,819 Atty. Docket No. 002717.P033

Claims 7, 12, 18, and 20

Claim 7 depends from claim 5, set forth above, and further recites the following:

reducing the data rate below the minimum data rate.

Claims 12, 18, and 20 recite similar limitations directed to a switching point reducing a data rate

below a minimum data rate specified for an SLA.

The discussion in the references fails to disclose a switching point reducing a data rate for

traffic associated with a particular SLA to a rate below a minimum data rate specified for the

SLA. Therefore, the references fail to disclose or suggest at least one element of the invention as

recited in these claims, and so fails to render the invention obvious under MPEP § 2143.

CONCLUSION

Appellants respectfully submit that all appealed claims in this application are patentable

and request that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences overrule the Examiner and direct

allowance of the rejected claims.

Application No. 09/189,819 Atty. Docket No. 002717.P033

A single copy of this brief is submitted as per 37 C.F.R. §41.37(a), along with a check for \$320.00 to cover the appeal fee for one other than a small entity as specified in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(c). Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account No. 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted, **BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP**.

Date: 3/23/05

Vincent H. Anderson Reg. No. 54,962

12400 Wilshire Blvd., 7th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026 Telephone: (503) 439-8778

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mall with sufficient postage in an cryclopa addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1453

Mame of Person Mailing Correspondence

Signature

Tate

IX. APPENDIX: CLAIMS ON APPEAL

1-4. (Canceled)

5. (Previously Presented) A method for controlling congestion in a network having a

plurality of switching points, comprising:

maintaining a plurality of service level agreements (SLAs) at a first switching point, each

SLA having a corresponding minimum data rate;

transmitting data packets received at the first switching point corresponding to each SLA

at or above the minimum data rate in accordance with the respective SLA;

receiving a message from a second switching point at the first switching point to indicate

that traffic between a source and a destination is congested; and

adjusting a data rate at which packets corresponding to an SLA, destined for the

destination, are output from the first switching point in response to receiving the message to

reduce the congestion.

6. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5 wherein adjusting the data rate for packets

corresponding to the SLA to reduce the congestion includes reducing the data rate to the

minimum data rate for the SLA.

7. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5 wherein adjusting the data rate for packets

corresponding to the SLA to reduce the congestion includes reducing the data rate below the

minimum data rate.

8. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 5 wherein maintaining SLAs further

comprises separating the data packets into different queues corresponding to each different SLA.

9. (Canceled)

Application No. 09/189,819 Atty. Docket No. 002717.P033 Examiner: P.H. Tran

-7-

10. (Previously Presented) An article of manufacture comprising a machine-accessible

medium that includes content that when accessed provides instructions to cause a machine to:

maintain a plurality of service level agreements (SLAs) at a first switching point, each

SLA having a corresponding minimum data rate;

transmit data packets received at the first switching point corresponding to each SLA at

or above the minimum data rate in accordance with the respective SLA;

receive a message from a second switching point to indicate that traffic between a source

and a destination is congested; and

adjust a data rate at which packets corresponding to an SLA, destined for the destination,

are transmitted in response to receiving the message to reduce the congestion.

(Previously Presented) The article of manufacture of claim 10 wherein the content to 11.

provide instructions to cause the machine to adjust the data rate for an SLA to reduce the

congestion includes the content providing instructions to cause the machine to reduce the data

rate to the minimum data rate for the SLA.

(Previously Presented) The article of manufacture of claim 10 wherein the content to 12.

provide instructions to cause the machine to adjust the data rate for an SLA to reduce the

congestion includes the content providing instructions to cause the machine to reduce the data

rate below the minimum data rate for the SLA.

(Previously Presented) The article of manufacture of claim 10 wherein the content to **13.**

provide instructions to cause the machine to maintain SLAs further comprises the content

providing instructions to cause the machine to separate the data packets into different queues

corresponding to each different SLA.

Examiner: P.H. Tran Art Unit: 2666

-8-

14. (Previously Presented) A method of controlling congestion among a plurality of switching points, comprising:

managing a plurality of service level agreements (SLAs) specifying a minimum data rate of transmission for packets corresponding to each SLA, at each switching point;

sending a message from a downstream switching point to an upstream switching point to cause the upstream switching point to reduce a data rate at which packets associated with a specific SLA to be forwarded by the upstream switching point are output from the upstream switching point to a device downstream from the downstream switching point; and

sending a message from the downstream switching point to the upstream switching point to cause the upstream switching point to increase the data rate at which packets associated with the specific SLA are output from the upstream switching point to the device downstream from the downstream switching point.

- 15. (Canceled)
- **16.** (Previously Presented) A system comprising:

a first switching point to receive data packets, transmit the data packets to another switching point, manage service level agreements (SLAs) specifying a minimum data rate for packets corresponding to the SLA, and send a message to indicate that traffic between a source and a destination is congested; and

a second switching point coupled with the first switching point to manage SLAs specifying a minimum data rate for packets corresponding to the SLA, transmit packets from the second switching point in accordance with the SLA, receive the message from the first switching point, and reduce a data rate at which packets corresponding to an SLA indicated in the message,

Application No. 09/189,819 Atty. Docket No. 002717.P033 destined for the destination, are output from the second switching device in response to receiving the message.

- 17. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 16 wherein the second switching point reducing the data rate includes the second switching point to reduce the data rate to the minimum data rate specified by the corresponding SLA.
- 18. (Previously Presented) The system of claim 16 wherein the second switching point reducing the data rate includes the second switching point to reduce the data rate to below the minimum data rate specified by the corresponding SLA.
- **19.** (Previously Presented) A method for controlling congestion in a network having a plurality of switching points, comprising:

maintaining a plurality of service level agreements (SLAs) at a switching point, each SLA having a corresponding minimum data rate;

receiving data packets corresponding to at least one of the SLAs;

detecting congestion for data packets corresponding to the at least one of the SLAs; and sending a message from the switching point to indicate the congestion to an upstream switching point, the upstream switching point to route packets received from further upstream, to cause the upstream switching point to adjust a data rate at which packets corresponding to the at least one of the SLAs are output from the upstream switching point in response to the message.

20. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 19 wherein sending a message to indicate congestion to an upstream switching point comprises sending a message to indicate to the upstream switching point to reduce the data rate to a rate at or below the minimum data rate for the SLA.

Application No. 09/189,819 Atty. Docket No. 002717.P033 21. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 19 further comprising:

sending a message from the switching point to an upstream switching point to cause the upstream switching point to increase the data rate when congestion is no longer detected for data packets corresponding to the at least one SLA.

22-24. (Canceled)

TRANSMITTAL FO		Application No.	09/189,819			
TRANSMITTAL FO)RM	Filing Date	November 10, 1998			
(to be used for all correspondence after	r initial filing)	First Named Inventor	Ayman Fawaz			
		Art Unit	2666			
		Examiner Name	Phuc H. Tran			
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	on 6	Attorney Docket Number	2717P033			
ENCLOS	URES (chec	k all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal Form	Drawing(s))	After Allowance Communication to Group			
Fee Attached	Licensing-	related Papers	Appeal Communication to Boar of Appeals and Interferences			
Amendment / Response	Petition		Appeal Communication to Grou (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)			
After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)	Petition to Convert a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information			
Extension of Time Request	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		Status Letter			
Express Abandonment Request	Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):			
Information Disclosure Statement			- Check for \$500.00 - Return Postcard			
PTO/SB/08						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)						
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Basic Filing Fee	Remarks					
Declaration/POA						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE	OF APPLICA	NT, ATTORNEY, OR AC	SENT			
Firm Vincent H. Anderson, Reg. No. 54,962						
or Individual name BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP						
Signature VmcatH A						
Date March 23, 200	5	,				

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Appeal Brief-Patents, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

2011 1 100 1 1 1011 1011 1011 1011 1011			
Typed or printed name	Rachael L. Brown		
Signature		Date	March 23, 2005

MAR 2 5 2005		
PEEDRANSMITTAL		Complete if Known
	Application Number	09/189,819
for FY 2005	Filing Date	November 10, 1998
Patent fees are subject to annual revision.	First Named Inventor	Ayman Fawaz
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.	Examiner Name	Phuc H. Tran
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500	Art Unit	2666
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 500	.00 Attorney Docket No.	2717P033
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)		
■ Check □ Credit card □ Money Order □ None	Other (please identify):	
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 02-2666	Deposit Account Name:	Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP
For the above-identified deposit account, the Director Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayment of under 37 CFR §§ 1.16, 1.17, 1.18 and 1.20.	Charge fee(s)	indicated below, except for the filing fee
FEE CALCULATION		
1. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Extra Claims Total Claims Independent Claims Multiple Dependent Large Entity Small Entity Fee from below Fee Pa 20° = 0	00	

Large Entity S		Small	Entity			
Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Description		
1202	50	2202	25	Claims in excess of 20		
1201	200	2201	100	Independent claims in excess of 3		
1203	360	2203	180	Multiple Dependent claim, if not paid		
1204	300	2204	150	**Reissue independent claims over original patent		
1205	300	2205	150	**Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent		
		ı	SUE	BTOTAL (1) (\$) 0.00		

**or number previously paid, if greater, For Reissues, see below

2. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Entity Small Entity

Fee Code	Fee (\$)	Fee Code	Fee (\$)	- Fee Description		Fee Paid
1051	130	2051	65	Surcharge - late filing fee or oath		
1052	50	2052	25	Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet.		
2053	130	2053	130	Non-English specification		
1251	120	2251	60	Extension for reply within first month		
1252	450	2252	225	Extension for reply within second month		
1253	1,020	2253	510	Extension for reply within third month		
1254	1,590	2254	795	Extension for reply within fourth month		
1255	2,160	2255	1,080	Extension for reply within fifth month		
1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appeal		ļ
1402	500	2402	250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal		500.00
1403	1,000	2403	500	Request for oral hearing		
1451	1,510	2451	1,510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding		
1460	130	2460	130	Petitions to the Commissioner		
1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(q)		
1806	180	1806	180	Submission of Information Disclosure Stmt		
1809	790	1809	395	Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))		
1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))		
Other fe	e (specify)	•				
				SUBTOTAL (2)	(\$)	500.00

SUBMITTED BY Complete (if applicable)							
Name (Print/Type)	Vincent H. Anderson	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	54,962	Telephone	(503) 439-8778		
Signature	Much #1A			Date	03/23/05		